

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/423,633	11/17/1999	KATSUHIKO HIRAMATSU	P18671	6986
7055	7590 10/09/2003		EXAMINER	
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			TORRES, MARCOS L	
RESTON, V			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			2683	
			DATE MAILED: 10/09/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/423,633	HIRAMATSU, KA	HIRAMATSU, KATSUHIKO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Marcos L Torres	2683		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover she	et with the correspondence ad	ldress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, r y within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6 , cause the application to beco	may a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered timel  MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	ly. ommunication. ·	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23.	<i>luly</i> 2003 .			
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☑ Th	is action is non-final.			
Since this application is in condition for allowatelosed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			ne merits is	
4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-20 is/are pending in the appl	ication.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration	٦.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-8 and 12-20</u> is/are rejected.				
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-11</u> is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requiremen	t.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	· · · · · ·	•		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examin	er.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S	3.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents				
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	reau (PCT Rule 17.2)	(a)).	Stage	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti				
Attachment(s)	,			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No( ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO er:		

Art Unit: 2683

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-6, 15, 17-19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Forssen in view of Dunbridge, further in view of Wang and further in view of Kanai.

As to claim 1, 15 and 20, Forssen discloses a base station apparatus comprising: a downlink transmission section that transmits a first signal with a directivity directed to a mobile station apparatus and a second signal with directivity wider than that of the first signal; a reception section that receives a reception of the first signal to the second

Art Unit: 2683

signal measured in the station apparatus; a determining section that determines whether the directivity of the first signal should be changed (see col. 3, line 65 – col. 4, line 14); and a directivity control section that changes the directivity of the first signal based on a result of determination by the determining section (see col. 5 lines 13-14). Forssen do not specifically disclose a reception section that receives a reception power ratio signal measured in the mobile station apparatus; a determining section that determines whether the directivity should be changed based on a difference between a transmission power ratio of the signals and the reception power ratio. Dunbridge discloses a reception section that receives a reception power ratio signal measured in the mobile station apparatus; a determining section that determines whether the directivity should be changed based on a difference between power ratio of the signals and the reception power ratio (see col. 6, lines 23-36). Wang discloses making a determination based on the transmission power ratio (see col. 2, lines 15-30). Kanai discloses a power ratio measured in the mobile station apparatus (see col. 3, lines 26-44). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the Dunbridge and Wang teachings in the Forssen system for a reliable signal quality.

As to claim 3 and 18, Forssen discloses the base station apparatus wherein, if the difference between the reception power and the transmission power is greater than a first threshold and the mobile station apparatus to which the first signal was transmitted requests the transmission power to be increased, the determining section determines that the directivity of the first signal should be changed (see col. 4, lines 36-

Art Unit: 2683

59). Dunbridge discloses the use of reception power ratio and the transmission power ratio (see col. 6, lines 23-36). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add the Dunbridge teachings in the Forssen system for an enhanced signal without fading.

As to claims 4 and 19, Dunbridge discloses the base station apparatus wherein, if the difference between the reception power and the transmission power is greater than a first threshold and the reception power of a signal transmitted from the mobile station apparatus to which the first signal was transmitted is smaller than a second threshold, the determining section determines that the directivity of the first signal should be changed (see col. 6, lines 23-36).

As to claim 5, Forssen discloses the base station apparatus further comprising a transmission power control section that controls transmission power of a transmission signal, the transmission power control section not changing the transmission power if the determining section determines that the directivity should be changed (see col. 4, line 60 – col. 7, line 26).

As to claim 6, Forssen discloses the base station apparatus wherein, if the determining section determines that the directivity should be changed, the directivity control section changes the directivity orientation without changing the width of the directivity (see col. 9, lines 51-54).

As to claim 17, Forssen discloses to change the directivity of the signal measuring the power of the signal (see col. 4, line 60 – col. 5, line 15). Dunbridge discloses to adjust the transmitting direction according transmitting and receiving power

Art Unit: 2683

ratios (see col. 6, lines 23-36). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Forssen and Dunbridge for improved signal tracking without fading.

Page 5

5. Claims 12-14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Forssen in view of Dunbridge, further in view of Wang and further in view of Kanai as applied to claims 1, 3-6, 15, 17-19 and 20 above, and further in view of Suzuki.

As to claims 12, 13 and 14, Forssen discloses everything claimed as explained above except for a mobile station apparatus comprising: a first measuring section that measures reception power of the first signal transmitted from the base station apparatus to the mobile station; a second measuring section that measures reception power of the second signal transmitted from the base station apparatus to an apparatus other than the mobile station; and an uplink transmission section that transmits measurement results of the first and second measuring sections to the base station apparatus and a reception power calculating section that calculates a reception power ratio, which is a ratio of the reception power of the first signal to the reception power of the second signal, wherein the uplink transmission section transmits the reception power ratio using a common signal applicable to any mobile station apparatus as the second signal. Suzuki discloses a mobile station apparatus comprising: a first measuring section that measures reception power of the first signal transmitted from the base station apparatus to the mobile station; a second measuring section that measures reception power of the second signal transmitted from the base station apparatus to an apparatus other than the mobile station; and an uplink transmission section that transmits measurement

i

Art Unit: 2683

results of the first and second measuring sections to the base station apparatus and a reception power calculating section that calculates a reception power ratio, which is a ratio of the reception power of the first signal to the reception power of the second signal, wherein the uplink transmission section transmits the reception power ratio using a common signal applicable to any mobile station apparatus as the second signal (see col. 6, lines 5-43). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add the Suzuki features to the modified Forssen and Dunbridge system for an enhanced reception with reduced interference.

As to claim 16, Forssen discloses everything claimed as explained above except for a radio communication method wherein the mobile station apparatus that received the first signal calculates a reception power ratio and transmits it to the base station apparatus. Suzuki discloses a radio communication method wherein the mobile station apparatus that received the first signal calculates a reception power ratio and transmits it to the base station apparatus (see column 6, line 61 to column 7, line 9). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Suzuki teachings with Forssen system for a redundant system having an enhanced reception.

6. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Forssen in view of Dunbridge, further in view of Wang and further in view of Kanai as applied to claims 1, 3-6, 15, 17-19 and 20 above, and further in view of Ward.

As to claims 7 and 8, Forssen discloses everything claimed as explained above except for the base station apparatus wherein, if the determining section determines

Art Unit: 2683

that the directivity should be changed, the directivity control section broadens the width of directivity of the first signal, adjusts transmission power, changes the directivity orientation and returns the width of directivity to the original value. Ward discloses the base station apparatus wherein, if the determining section determines that the directivity should be changed, the directivity control section broadens the width of directivity of the first signal, adjusts transmission power, changes the directivity orientation and returns the width of directivity to the original value (see col. 8, line 31 – col. 9, line 36).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Ward teachings in the modified Forssen and Dunbridge system for better tracking and reception.

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 9-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The base station apparatus wherein the determining section sets a third threshold greater than a first threshold, and if the difference between the reception power ratio and the transmission power ratio is greater than the third threshold, determines that a directivity shift of the first signal is large, and if the difference between the reception power ratio and the transmission power ratio is greater than the first threshold and smaller than the third threshold, determines hat the directivity shift of the first signal is small. The base station apparatus wherein if the determining section

determines that the directivity shift of the first signal is large, the directivity control section broadens the width of directivity to adjust the directivity, and if the determining section determines that the directivity shift of said first signal is small, the directivity control section does not change the width of directivity but changes the directivity orientation. The base station apparatus wherein, if the determining section determines that the directivity shift of the first signal is large, the directivity control section broadens the width of directivity, adjusts the directivity and then returns the width of the directivity to the original value, and if the determining section determines that the directivity shift of the first signal is small, the directivity control section does not change the width of directivity but changes the directivity orientation.

### Conclusion

Any response to this Office Action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 308-6306

For formal communication intended for entry, informal communication or draft communication; in the case of informal or draft communication, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"

Hand delivered responses should be brought to:

Crystal Park II 2121 Crystal Drive Arlington, VA Sixth Floor (Receptionist) Page 8

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marcos L Torres whose telephone number is 703-305-1478. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-5:30pm alt. friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William G Trost can be reached on 703-305-5318. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.

Marcos L Torres Examiner Art Unit 2683

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Mlt